

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,087	07/03/2003	Kazaya Katoh	24-007	5849
23400	7590 06/14/2005		EXAM	INER
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE SUITE 101			AHMAD,	NASSER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V.	A 20191	1772		
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2001	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/612,087	KATOH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nasser Ahmad	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties and the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a company to the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin epirod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Ai	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status .		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>10 March 2005</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> is/are pending in the	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14 is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	en e	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docur		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		i received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	received
See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies flot	, roodivou.
Attachment(s)	1	Summan (DTO 442)
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) L Interview	Summary (PTO-413)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _

6) Other: _

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 06082005

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Application/Control Number: 10/612,087

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Rejections withdrawn

- 1. Claims 1-4 and 6-9 rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Blanford in view of the amendment filed on March 10, 2005.
- 2. Claims 1-9 rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blanford in view of the amendment.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9 and 15-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/612,087

Art Unit: 1772

5. Claims 1-7, 9 and 15-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 7-10 of copending Application No. 10/619,511. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant application and the co-pending application are directed to a laminate comprising a release sheet, an adhesive layer formed on the sheet, a base material formed on the adhesive layer, and a protective material provided on a portion of the base material that corresponds to a portion other than the principally used portion of the adhesive layer. However, co-pending Application'511 fails to recite in the claims that the protective member is thicker than the adhesive sheet and that the adhesive sheet includes a plurality of cover members. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the claimed laminate of Application'511 to have the protective member being thicker than the adhesive sheet because it is shown in figures-1 and 5 therein. As for the presence of a plurality of cover members, it is disclosed in the co-pending application, paragraph-0066 and would have been obvious to provide said cover members formed in the adhesive sheet.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,087

Art Unit: 1772

Allowable Subject Matter

6. The prior art uncovered so far fails to teach or suggest the laminate sheet comprising a plurality of cover sheet portions laminated on a long release sheet and a protective member, that is thicker than the adhesive sheet, is provided longitudinally on the release sheet and spaced apart from the cover sheet portions so as not to overlap said cover sheet portions or partially overlap said cover sheet portions when wound is to a roll.

Information Disclosure Statement

7. The information disclosure statement filed on March 10, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The submitted IDS does not include copies of the foreign patents listed and hence have not been considered.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,087

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. June 8, 2005.